United Nations

Framework Convention on Climate Change

FCCC/KP/CMP/2013/9/Add.1

Distr.: General
31 January 2014

Original: English

Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol

Report of the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol on its ninth session, held in Warsaw from 11 to 23 November 2013

Addendum

Part two: Action taken by the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol at its ninth session

Contents

Decisions adopted by the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol

Decision		*Page*
1/CMP.9	Report of the Adaptation Fund Board	3
2/CMP.9	Second review of the Adaptation Fund	5
3/CMP.9	Guidance relating to the clean development mechanism	8
4/CMP.9	Review of the modalities and procedures for the clean development mechanism	12
5/CMP.9	Guidance on the implementation of Article 6 of the Kyoto Protocol	13
6/CMP.9	Guidance for reporting information on activities under Article 3, paragraphs 3 and 4, of the Kyoto Protocol	15
7/CMP.9	Modalities for expediting the establishment of eligibility for Parties included in Annex I with commitments for the second commitment period whose eligibility has not yet been established	18
8/CMP.9	Compliance Committee	20

GE.14-60138

1460138

Please recycle

9/CMP.9 Supplementary information incorporated in sixth national communications submitted in accordance with Article 7, paragraph 2, of the Kyoto Protocol 23

10/CMP.9 Programme budget for the biennium 2014–2015 24

Resolution

1/CMP.9 Expression of gratitude to the Government of Poland and the people of the city of Warsaw 31

Decision 1/CMP.9

Report of the Adaptation Fund Board

The Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol,

Recalling Article 12, paragraph 8, of the Kyoto Protocol,

Also recalling previous decisions of the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol on the report of the Adaptation Fund Board,

Further recalling decision 1/CMP.8,

Taking note of the report of the Adaptation Fund Board,[1]

Noting with concern the level of market prices of certified emission reductions and the expected impact on the availability of funding from the Adaptation Fund,

Taking note of the information on the status of the resources of the Adaptation Fund,[2]

1. *Adopts* the amendment to the terms and conditions of services to be provided by the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development (the World Bank) as trustee for the Adaptation Fund on an interim basis;[3]

2. *Notes* the following information, actions and decisions relating to the Adaptation Fund Board in accordance with decision 1/CMP.4, paragraph 10:

(a) The accreditation of 15 national implementing entities, including one during the reporting period, that can access resources from the Adaptation Fund directly;

(b) Cumulative project and programme approvals reaching USD 184 million;

(c) The funds available for projects and programmes implemented by multilateral implementing entities that reached the 50 per cent cap established by Adaptation Fund Board decision B.12/9;

(d) The establishment of a pipeline of eight projects and programmes recommended for approval by the Project and Programme Review Committee for which no funds were available;

3. *Also notes* that cumulative receipts into the Adaptation Fund Trust Fund reached USD 324.4 million, with USD 188.3 million coming from the monetization of certified emission reductions and USD 136.1 million from additional contributions;

4. *Further notes* that funds available for new funding approvals amounted to USD 115.8 million as at 31 July 2013 and that potential cumulative additional resources from the monetization of certified emission reductions up to the end of 2020 are estimated at USD 15–30 million;[4]

[1] FCCC/KP/CMP/2013/2.
[2] FCCC/SBI/2013/INF.2.
[3] FCCC/KP/CMP/2013/2, annex I.
[4] Estimate based on current certified emission reduction prices and estimated certified emission reduction issuance levels.

5. *Notes with concern* issues related to the sustainability, adequacy and predictability of funding from the Adaptation Fund given the current prices of certified emission reductions;

6. *Takes note with appreciation* of the continued efforts of the Adaptation Fund Board to promote the accreditation of national implementing entities and direct access to the resources of the Adaptation Fund;

7. *Invites* the Adaptation Fund Board to provide, in its report to the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol at its tenth session (December 2014), its views on the matters included in the terms of reference for the second review of the Adaptation Fund annexed to decision 2/CMP.9, taking into account the deliberations and conclusions of the Subsidiary Body for Implementation at its fortieth session (June 2014);

8. *Decides* that an account held in the clean development mechanism registry for the Adaptation Fund shall be the recipient of the 2 per cent share of proceeds levied in accordance with decision 1/CMP.8, paragraph 21;

9. *Requests* the Adaptation Fund Board to consider the arrangements for the monetization of the 2 per cent share of proceeds referred to in paragraph 8 above, including the appropriate entity or entities to monetize the share of proceeds, and to forward a recommendation to the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol for consideration at its tenth session;

10. *Also requests* the Adaptation Fund Board to develop and approve the legal arrangements with the trustee regarding the services for the 2 per cent share of proceeds referred to in paragraph 8 above for approval by the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol;

11. *Takes note* of the Adaptation Fund Board's fundraising strategy and campaign to raise USD 100 million by the end of 2013, as detailed in the report of the Adaptation Fund Board to the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol at its ninth session;

12. *Continues to encourage* Parties included in Annex I to the Convention and international organizations to provide funding to support the Adaptation Fund Board's goal of the fundraising strategy and campaign referred to in paragraph 11 above and to scale up funding, with a view to reaching the Board's fundraising goal from resources that are additional to the share of proceeds from clean development mechanism project activities, the first international transfers of assigned amount units and the issuance of emission reduction units for activities under Article 6 of the Kyoto Protocol;

13. *Welcomes* the financial contributions that have been made to the Adaptation Fund in 2013 by the Governments of Sweden and the Brussels-Capital Region in Belgium, and the pledges of contributions to the Adaptation Fund made by the Governments of Austria, Belgium, Finland, France, Germany, Norway and Switzerland in accordance with decision 4/CMP.5, paragraph 9.

9th plenary meeting
22 November 2013

Decision 2/CMP.9

Second review of the Adaptation Fund

The Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol,

Recalling decisions 1/CMP.3 and 6/CMP.6 on the three-year review cycle for the Adaptation Fund and the annex to decision 6/CMP.6,

Also recalling decision 4/CMP.8, paragraph 10, requesting the Subsidiary Body for Implementation to initiate the second review of the Adaptation Fund in accordance with the terms of reference contained in the annex to decision 6/CMP.6, or as these guidelines may be subsequently amended,

1. *Decides* that the review will be undertaken in accordance with the terms of reference contained in the annex;

2. *Requests* the Adaptation Fund Board to make available in its report to the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol at its tenth session (December 2014) information on the financial status of the Adaptation Fund, with a view to finalizing the second review of the Adaptation Fund at the same session;

3. *Invites* Parties and observer organizations, as well as other interested international organizations, stakeholders and non-governmental organizations involved in the activities of the Adaptation Fund and implementing entities accredited by the Adaptation Fund Board to submit to the secretariat, by 26 March 2014, their views on the review of the Adaptation Fund based on the terms of reference contained in the annex;

4. *Requests* the secretariat to make available on the UNFCCC website the views referred to in paragraph 3 above, for consideration by the Subsidiary Body for Implementation at its fortieth session (June 2014);

5. *Also requests* the Subsidiary Body for Implementation to consider the second review of the Adaptation Fund at its fortieth session, with a view to recommending a draft decision for consideration and adoption by the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol at its tenth session;

6. *Further requests* the secretariat, subject to the availability of financial resources, in collaboration with the Adaptation Fund Board secretariat, to prepare a technical paper based on the terms of reference contained in the annex, taking into account the deliberations and conclusions of the Subsidiary Body for Implementation at its fortieth session, for consideration by the Subsidiary Body for Implementation at its forty-first session (December 2014).

Annex

Terms of reference for the second review of the Adaptation Fund

I. Objective

1. The objective of the second review is to ensure the effectiveness, sustainability and adequacy of the operation of the Fund, with a view to the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol (CMP) adopting an appropriate decision on this matter at CMP 10.

II. Scope

2. The scope of the second review of the Adaptation Fund will cover the progress made to date and lessons learned in the operationalization and implementation of the Fund, and will focus on, inter alia:

(a) The provision of sustainable, predictable and adequate financial resources, including the potential diversification of revenue streams, to fund concrete adaptation projects and programmes that are country driven and based on the needs, views and priorities of eligible Parties;

(b) Lessons learned from the application of the access modalities of the Adaptation Fund;

(c) The institutional linkages and relations, as appropriate, between the Adaptation Fund and other institutions, in particular institutions under the Convention;

(d) The institutional arrangements for the Adaptation Fund, in particular the arrangements with the interim secretariat and the interim trustee.

III. Sources of information

3. The review shall draw upon, inter alia, the following sources of information:

(a) Submissions from Parties to the Kyoto Protocol, observer organizations, as well as other interested international organizations, stakeholders and non-governmental organizations involved in the activities of the Adaptation Fund, and implementing entities accredited by the Adaptation Fund Board on their experiences regarding the Adaptation Fund;

(b) The annual report of the Global Environment Facility (GEF) to the Conference of the Parties (COP) on its activities as an operating entity of the financial mechanism of the Convention, including the information on the Least Developed Countries Fund and the Special Climate Change Fund, and other relevant GEF policy, information and evaluation documents;

(c) The annual report of the Green Climate Fund (GCF) to the COP on its activities as an operating entity of the financial mechanism of the Convention and other relevant GCF policy and information documents;

(d) The report of the Adaptation Fund Board to the CMP and the outcomes of the initial review of the Adaptation Fund;

(e) The outcomes and reports from the United Nations processes, relevant bilateral and multilateral funding institutions and other intergovernmental and non-governmental organizations dealing with climate change financing;

(f) The reports on the forum of the Standing Committee on Finance;

(g) The technical paper prepared by the secretariat upon the request of the CMP on steps and time frames to conduct an open and competitive bidding process for selecting host institutions for entities under the Convention;[1]

(h) The reports of the work programme on long-term finance;

(i) The reports of the Least Developed Countries Expert Group and the Consultative Group of Experts on National Communications from Parties not included in Annex I to the Convention.

9th plenary meeting
22 November 2013

[1] FCCC/TP/2013/1.

Decision 3/CMP.9

Guidance relating to the clean development mechanism

The Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol,

Recalling the provisions of Articles 3 and 12 of the Kyoto Protocol and decision 1/CMP.6,

Cognizant of decision 3/CMP.1 and subsequent guidance provided by the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol relating to the clean development mechanism,

I. General

1. *Welcomes* the annual report for 2012–2013 of the Executive Board of the clean development mechanism;[1]

2. *Commends* the Executive Board for the substantial work undertaken over the past year;

3. *Expresses satisfaction* with the success of the clean development mechanism in the first commitment period of the Kyoto Protocol, which to date has been responsible for over 7,300 project activities being registered in over 90 countries, over 1,500 component project activities being included in over 230 programmes of activities registered in over 60 countries, over 1.4 billion certified emission reductions being issued and over USD 215 billion being invested;

4. *Expresses concern* regarding the difficult market situation currently faced by participants in the clean development mechanism and the consequent loss of institutional capacity related to the mechanism, both of which are threatening the value of the clean development mechanism as a tool for Parties to collaborate in achieving the objective of the Convention;

5. *Encourages* Parties to make greater use of the clean development mechanism to ensure the continued success of the mechanism beyond the first commitment period of the Kyoto Protocol with regard to its contribution to the achievement of the objective of the Convention;

II. Governance

6. *Designates* as operational entities those entities that have been accredited, and provisionally designated, as operational entities by the Executive Board to carry out the sector-specific validation functions and/or sector-specific verification functions described in the annex;

7. *Urges* the Executive Board to expedite its work on evaluating the use of the voluntary sustainable development tool and to report on its findings to the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol at its tenth session (December 2014);

[1] FCCC/KP/CMP/2013/5 (Parts I and II).

8. *Requests* the Executive Board to develop guiding tools to assist designated national authorities, upon the request of the host Party and on a voluntary basis, in monitoring the sustainable development benefits in its territory of clean development mechanism project activities and programmes of activities, recognizing that the use of such guiding tools is the prerogative of Parties and subject to the availability of funds from Parties included in Annex I;

9. *Encourages* the Executive Board to enhance its interactions with designated national authorities and designated operational entities through its existing forums;

III. Baseline and monitoring methodologies and additionality

10. *Requests* the Executive Board to analyse allowing the validation of monitoring plans for small-scale and microscale project activities and programmes of activities before their first verifications;

11. *Reiterates* its encouragement to the Executive Board, as contained in decision 5/CMP.8, to continue its work on the simplification and streamlining of methodologies, with the aim of reducing transaction costs for all project activities and programmes of activities, especially those in regions underrepresented in the clean development mechanism;

12. *Requests* the Executive Board to analyse the thresholds for component project activities to qualify as microscale activities in programmes of activities, taking into account regional circumstances while ensuring environmental integrity;

13. *Also requests* the Executive Board to expedite its work on the development of country-specific baseline and additionality thresholds for sectors in countries underrepresented in the clean development mechanism, in coordination with those countries;

14. *Reiterates* its request to the Executive Board, as contained in decision 3/CMP.6, to examine alternative approaches to the demonstration and assessment of additionality;

15. *Confirms* that, after the expiry of its crediting period, a project activity or programme of activities that has been registered as a clean development mechanism project activity or programme of activities may not be re-registered as a new clean development mechanism project activity or programme of activities;

16. *Recognizes* that a new project activity or component project activity could be registered at the same physical or geographical location at which a project activity or component project activity whose crediting period has expired existed, if the new project activity or component project activity is not a continuation or modification of the old project activity or component project activity;

17. *Requests* the Executive Board to report to the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol at its tenth session on the implementation of paragraph 16 above, including on criteria established to determine whether a project activity or component project activity is a continuation or modification of another project activity or component project activity, and, if necessary, to also make recommendations on possible changes to the modalities and procedures for the clean development mechanism;

IV. Registration of clean development mechanism project activities and issuance of certified emission reductions

18. *Requests* the Executive Board to simplify and streamline the validation process for project activities and programmes of activities that are deemed to be automatically additional;

19. *Also requests* the Executive Board to further improve and streamline the regulations for programmes of activities, including those for programmes of activities with more than one host Party;

20. *Further requests* the Executive Board, with the support of the secretariat, to collaborate with the Designated National Authorities Forum on collecting and making available, on the UNFCCC clean development mechanism website, information on practices conducted for local stakeholder consultations, and to provide technical assistance to designated national authorities, upon their request, for the development of guidelines for local stakeholder consultation in their countries;

21. *Requests* the Executive Board, based on the experience gained through the application of materiality, as defined in decision 9/CMP.7, and in consultation with the Designated Operational Entities/Accredited Independent Entities Coordination Forum, to review the concept of materiality in the verification process and, if applicable, how it can be further applied in the clean development mechanism;

V. Regional and subregional distribution

22. *Reiterates* its invitation to Parties and institutions wishing to do so to make voluntary contributions to the clean development mechanism Loan Scheme, as described in decision 3/CMP.6, in order to expand the capacity of the scheme;

23. *Welcomes* the progress made in establishing the regional collaboration centres to promote the clean development mechanism in regions underrepresented in the mechanism and to support stakeholders at the regional and national levels;

24. *Reiterates* its request to the secretariat, as contained in decision 8/CMP.7, to continue enhancing its provision of support to Parties underrepresented in the clean development mechanism;

25. *Also reiterates* its encouragement to designated operational entities, as contained in decisions 2/CMP.5 and 5/CMP.8, to establish offices in developing countries in order to reduce transaction costs for those countries and contribute to achieving a more equitable distribution of clean development mechanism project activities and programmes of activities.

Annex

Entities accredited and provisionally designated by the Executive Board of the clean development mechanism in the reporting period, including entities for which the scope of accreditation was extended

Name of entity	*Project validation*	*Emission reduction verification*
Instituto Brasileiro de Opinião Pública e Estatística Ltda. (IBOPE)[a]	1	1
Shenzhen CTI International Certification Co., Ltd (CTI)[a]	1–4, 6, 7, 9, 10 and 13	1–4, 6, 7, 9, 10 and 13
Ernst & Young Associés (France) (EYG)[c]	14	14
JACO CDM., LTD (JACO)[d]	5–12 and 15 voluntary partial withdrawal	5–12 and 15 voluntary partial withdrawal
JACO CDM., LTD (JACO)[d]	2 and 4 voluntary partial withdrawal	2 and 4 voluntary partial withdrawal
Bureau Veritas Certification Holding SAS (BVCH)[c]	1–15	1–15
Korean Register of Shipping (KR)[a]	1, 7 and 13	1, 7 and 13
Lloyd's Register Quality Assurance Ltd. (LRQA)[c]	1–13	1–13
EPIC Sustainability Services Pvt. Ltd[a]	1–11 and 13–15	1–11 and 13–15
Northeast Audit Co., Ltd. (NAC)[a]	1–13 and 15	1–13 and 15
Conestoga Rovers & Associates Limited (CRA)[c]	1, 4, 5, 10, 12 and 13	1, 4, 5, 10, 12 and 13
TÜV NORD CERT GmbH (TÜV NORD)[b, c]	1–15 and extend its accreditation to sectoral scope 16	1–15 and extend its accreditation to sectoral scope 16
LGAI Technological Center, S.A. (LGAI Tech. Center S.A)[c]	1 and 13	1 and 13
Ernst & Young Sustainability Co., Ltd. (EYSUS)[d]	1–3 voluntary withdrawal for entire accreditation	1–3 voluntary withdrawal for entire accreditation
Nippon Kaiji Kentei Quality Assurance Limited (NKKKQA)[d]	1, 3, 4, 5, 7, 12 and 13 voluntary withdrawal for entire accreditation	1, 3, 4, 5, 7, 12 and 13 voluntary withdrawal for entire accreditation

[a] Accreditation granted for three years.
[b] Extension of scope. For the entities for which the scope of accreditation was extended, only the new sectoral scopes are indicated.
[c] Reaccreditation for three years.
[d] Voluntary withdrawal of accreditation. Only the withdrawn sectoral scopes are indicated.

9th plenary meeting
23 November 2013

Decision 4/CMP.9

Review of the modalities and procedures for the clean development mechanism

The Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol,

1. *Requests* the secretariat, drawing on the discussions that took place at the thirty-ninth session of the Subsidiary Body for Implementation, to prepare a technical paper, by 19 March 2014, on the following issues relating to possible changes to the modalities and procedures for the clean development mechanism,[1] including their implications, for consideration by the Subsidiary Body for Implementation at its fortieth session (June 2014):

(a) The membership and composition of the Executive Board of the clean development mechanism, including similarities to and differences from other intergovernmental bodies within the UNFCCC process;

(b) The liability of designated operational entities to compensate for the issuance of certified emission reductions resulting from significant deficiencies in validation, verification and certification reports;

(c) Provisions for programmes of activities;

(d) Length of the crediting period;

(e) Requirements for the demonstration of additionality;

(f) The further elaboration of the role of designated national authorities of Parties included in Annex I and Parties not included in Annex I;

(g) The simplification and streamlining of the project cycle for certain project categories;

2. *Invites* Parties and admitted observer organizations to submit to the secretariat, by 30 April 2014, their views, to be posted on the UNFCCC website, on suggested changes to the modalities and procedures for the clean development mechanism, taking into account the implications elaborated in the technical paper referred to in paragraph 1 above;

3. *Requests* the Subsidiary Body for Implementation to continue its relevant considerations at its fortieth session and its forty-first session (December 2014), with a view to recommending a draft decision containing draft revised modalities and procedures for the clean development mechanism for consideration and adoption by the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol at its tenth session (December 2014);

4. *Also requests* that the actions of the secretariat called for in this decision be undertaken subject to the availability of financial resources.

9th plenary meeting
22 November 2013

[1] Decision 3/CMP.1, annex, decision 4/CMP.1, annex II, decision 5/CMP.1, annex, and decision 6/CMP.1, annex.

Decision 5/CMP.9

Guidance on the implementation of Article 6 of the Kyoto Protocol

The Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol,

Recalling the provisions of Articles 3 and 6 of the Kyoto Protocol and decision 1/CMP.6,

Cognizant of decisions 2/CMP.1 and 9/CMP.1, as well as subsequent guidance provided by the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol relating to joint implementation,

Also cognizant of decision 1/CMP.8,

1. *Welcomes* the achievements of joint implementation during the first commitment period of the Kyoto Protocol, accounting for 547 Track 1 projects,[1] 52 Track 2 projects,[2] 11 accredited independent entities and 840 million emission reduction units issued for emission reductions generated before the end of 2012;

2. *Expresses* concern regarding the difficult market situation currently faced by participants in joint implementation and the consequent loss of institutional capacity related to the mechanism, which is threatening the value of joint implementation as a tool for Parties to collaborate in achieving the objective of the Convention and its Kyoto Protocol;

3. *Stresses* the need to improve joint implementation in the second commitment period of the Kyoto Protocol in contributing to the achievement of the objective of the Convention and its Kyoto Protocol;

4. *Takes note with appreciation* of the annual report for 2012–2013 of the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee,[3] and the status of work undertaken by the Committee, in particular:

(a) The additional recommendations and transitional measures for reviewing the "Guidelines for the implementation of Article 6 of the Kyoto Protocol";[4]

(b) The recommendation with regard to establishing a unified accreditation system for both project-based mechanisms under the Kyoto Protocol, namely the clean development mechanism and joint implementation;

5. *Requests* the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee to submit elaborated recommendations on the accreditation system for joint implementation aligned with that of the clean development mechanism, taking into consideration decision 6/CMP.8, paragraph 15(b), for consideration by the Subsidiary Body for Implementation at its fortieth session (June 2014);

6. *Also requests* the Subsidiary Body for Implementation to consider the work requested in paragraph 5 above at its fortieth session;

---

[1] Decision 9/CMP.1, annex, paragraph 23.

[2] The verification procedure under the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee, defined in decision 9/CMP.1, annex, paragraphs 30–45.

[3] FCCC/KP/CMP/2013/4 and Corr.1.

[4] Decision 9/CMP.1, annex.

7. *Recalls* decision 9/CMP.1, paragraph 4, according to which joint implementation projects aimed at enhancing anthropogenic removals by sinks shall conform to definitions, accounting rules, modalities and guidelines under Article 3, paragraphs 3 and 4, of the Kyoto Protocol;

8. *Welcomes* the information presented on the UNFCCC joint implementation website[5] regarding the number of emission reduction units issued by Parties;

9. *Expresses* its deep appreciation to the Parties that have contributed to funding the work on joint implementation;

10. *Takes note* of the improved financial situation for joint implementation, in particular resulting from the continued collection of fees for Track 1 projects.

9th plenary meeting
22 November 2013

[5] <http://ji.unfccc.int/index.html>.

Decision 6/CMP.9

Guidance for reporting information on activities under Article 3, paragraphs 3 and 4, of the Kyoto Protocol

The Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol,

Recalling Articles 5, 7 and 8 of the Kyoto Protocol,

Also recalling decisions 6/CMP.3, 2/CMP.6, 2/CMP.7, 3/CMP.7, 4/CMP.7, 1/CMP.8 and 2/CMP.8,

Being aware of decisions 11/CMP.1, 13/CMP.1, 15/CMP.1, 16/CMP.1, 17/CMP.1, 18/CMP.1, 19/CMP.1 and 27/CMP.1,

1. *Adopts* the common reporting format[1] tables contained in the annex for the purpose of submission of information on anthropogenic greenhouse gas emissions by sources and removals by sinks from land use, land-use change and forestry activities under Article 3, paragraphs 3 and 4, pursuant to Article 5, paragraph 2, of the Kyoto Protocol in the second commitment period;

2. *Recognizes* that the information provided in the "Information table for accounting for activities under Articles 3.3 and 3.4 of the Kyoto Protocol" included in the set of tables in the annex shall result neither in the issuance nor in cancellation of removal units for the second commitment period under the Kyoto Protocol for Parties included in Annex I without a quantified emission limitation or reduction commitment inscribed in the third column of Annex B in the Doha Amendment, as contained in annex I to decision 1/CMP.8;

3. *Requests* the secretariat to develop reporting software as part of the CRF Reporter for the tables referred to in paragraph 1 above to enable reporting by Parties under the Kyoto Protocol by 15 April 2015 in accordance with paragraph 2 of decision 2/CMP.8;

4. *Also requests* the secretariat to make available to Parties included in Annex I, by June 2014 at the latest, the upgraded CRF Reporter in order to enable them to submit their inventories by the due date of 15 April 2015; in case the upgraded CRF Reporter is not available by June 2014, Parties may submit their greenhouse gas inventory after 15 April 2015 but not later than the corresponding delay in the CRF Reporter availability;

5. *Reaffirms* that, in accordance with decisions 2/CMP.6 and 2/CMP.7, the principles contained in paragraph 1 of decision 16/CMP.1 continue to govern the treatment of land use, land-use change and forestry activities;

6. *Decides* that for the second commitment period, reforestation activities under Article 3, paragraph 3, of the Kyoto Protocol will be limited to those occurring on lands that did not contain forest on 31 December 1989;

7. *Also decides* that the provisions contained in decision 16/CMP.1 and its annex shall not apply for the purposes of the second commitment period except for the principles in paragraph 1 of decision 16/CMP.1 and the provisions of paragraphs 1 and 16 of the annex to decision 16/CMP.1;

[1] The common reporting format is a standardized format to be used by Parties for the electronic reporting of estimates of greenhouse gas emissions and removals and any other relevant information.

8. *Further decides* that, for the purpose of providing information on anthropogenic greenhouse gas emissions by sources and removals by sinks from land use, land-use change and forestry activities under Article 3, paragraph 3, forest management under Article 3, paragraph 4, and elected activities, if any, under Article 3, paragraph 4, of the Kyoto Protocol in accordance with Article 5, paragraph 2, of the Kyoto Protocol in the second commitment period, Parties included in Annex I shall apply the *2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories*, as implemented through the "Guidelines for the preparation of national communications by Parties included in Annex I to the Convention, Part I: UNFCCC reporting guidelines on annual greenhouse gas inventories", in accordance with decision 4/CMP.7;

9. *Decides* that, for the purpose of providing information referred to in paragraph 8 above in the second commitment period, Parties included in Annex I shall apply, as appropriate, the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) *2013 Revised Supplementary Methods and Good Practice Guidance Arising from the Kyoto Protocol* in a manner consistent with decision 2/CMP.7, and consistent with annex I to decision 24/CP.19, "Guidelines for the preparation of national communications by Parties included in Annex I to the Convention, Part I: UNFCCC reporting guidelines on annual greenhouse gas inventories" and this decision;

10. *Also decides* that the *2013 Supplement to the 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories: Wetlands* shall apply for providing information on wetland drainage and rewetting elected activity under Article 3, paragraph 4, of the Kyoto Protocol in accordance with paragraph 11 of the annex to decision 2/CMP.7; the use of the *2013 Supplement to the 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories: Wetlands* is encouraged but not mandatory for any other activities under Article 3, paragraphs 3 and 4, of the Kyoto Protocol;

11. *Further decides* that, for the purpose of the second commitment period, all references to the *Revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories or the IPCC Good Practice Guidance and Uncertainty Management in National Greenhouse Gas Inventories* in decision 15/CMP.1 shall be read as references to the *2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories* as implemented through the "Guidelines for the preparation of national communications by Parties included in Annex I to the Convention, Part I: UNFCCC reporting guidelines on annual greenhouse gas inventories" and the *2013 Revised Supplementary Methods and Good Practice Guidance Arising from the Kyoto Protocol*; references to chapter 7 of the IPCC *Good Practice Guidance and Uncertainty Management in National Greenhouse Gas Inventories* shall be read as chapter 4 of volume 1 of the *2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories*;

12. *Decides* that the quantity amounting to 3.5 per cent of the base year greenhouse gas emissions excluding land use, land-use change and forestry referred to in paragraph 13 of the annex to decision 2/CMP.7 shall be calculated on the basis of the base year or period emissions reported in the annual greenhouse gas inventory report due by 15 April 2015, shall be included in the information communicated as part of the report to facilitate the calculation of a Party's assigned amount for the second commitment period, taking into account any corrections or adjustments made during the review process of that report under Article 8 of the Kyoto Protocol, and shall remain fixed for the second commitment period.

Annex

Common reporting format tables

Owing to the complexity and importance of colour coding in the common reporting format tables, they are not included in this document but are available on the UNFCCC website at <http://unfccc.int/national_reports/accounting_reporting_and_review_under_the_kyoto_protocol/items/7969.php>.

9th plenary meeting
23 November 2013

Decision 7/CMP.9

Modalities for expediting the establishment of eligibility for Parties included in Annex I with commitments for the second commitment period whose eligibility has not yet been established

The Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol,

Recalling the provisions of Articles 3 and 6 of the Kyoto Protocol and decision 1/CMP.6,

Also recalling decision 1/CMP.8, paragraph 16,

Cognizant of decisions 3/CMP.1, 9/CMP.1, 11/CMP.1, 13/CMP.1, 22/CMP.1 and 27/CMP.1,

1. *Decides*, for the purpose of the second commitment period of the Kyoto Protocol, to create a process to expedite the establishment of the eligibility of Parties included in Annex I (Annex I Parties) with commitments inscribed in the third column of Annex B in the Doha Amendment, as contained in decision 1/CMP.8, annex I, that have deposited their instruments of acceptance of the Doha Amendment in accordance with Article 21, paragraph 7, and Article 20, paragraph 4, of the Kyoto Protocol and whose eligibility has not yet been established, prior to such Parties demonstrating the fulfilment of all of the requirements set out in paragraph 2 of the annex to decision 11/CMP.1;

2. *Also decides* that a Party referred to in paragraph 1 above may, by 30 June 2015, submit a report on the establishment of its national registry, in accordance with chapter II.E of the annex to decision 15/CMP.1, demonstrating that it has in place a national registry in accordance with Article 7, paragraph 4, of the Kyoto Protocol, which has been established in accordance with the requirements set out in chapter II.A of the annex to decision 13/CMP.1;

3. *Further decides* that, for each Annex I Party meeting the requirements of paragraph 1 above which has submitted a report in accordance with paragraph 2 above, a review of that report to demonstrate the fulfilment of the requirements referred to in paragraph 2 above shall be initiated forthwith in accordance with part V of the annex to decision 22/CMP.1, and be conducted by an expert review team established in accordance with paragraph 1 of the annex to decision 22/CMP.1, to assess the fulfilment of the requirements referred to in paragraph 2 above;

4. *Decides* that the report on the review referred to in paragraph 3 above shall be forwarded to the Compliance Committee in accordance with section VI of the annex to decision 27/CMP.1;

5. *Also decides* that a Party whose report submitted in accordance with paragraph 2 above has been reviewed in accordance with paragraph 3 above shall be eligible to acquire in accordance with Article 17 of the Kyoto Protocol, certified emission reductions issued for emission reductions occurring after 31 December 2012 four months from the date of the submission of the report referred to in paragraph 4 above and to hold such units in its national registry, unless the enforcement branch of the Compliance Committee has found, in accordance with section X, paragraph 1, of the annex to decision 27/CMP.1, that the

Party is not in compliance with the requirements of chapter II.A of the annex to decision 13/CMP.1;

6. *Further decides* that a Party referred to in paragraph 5 above shall continue to benefit from the limited eligibility as referred to in that same paragraph above until its eligibility in accordance with paragraph 2 of the annex to decision 11/CMP.1 has been established and its assigned amount pursuant to Article 3, paragraphs 7 bis, 8 and 8 bis, in the Doha Amendment has been recorded in accordance with paragraph 9 of the annex to decision 13/CMP.1, or subsequent revisions to that decision, or until a question of implementation is raised in the context of a review under Article 8 of the Kyoto Protocol.

9th plenary meeting
22 November 2013

Decision 8/CMP.9

Compliance Committee

The Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol,

Recalling Article 18 of the Kyoto Protocol,

Also recalling decisions 27/CMP.1, 4/CMP.2 and 4/CMP.4,

Having considered the annual report of the Compliance Committee to the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol,[1]

Recognizing the importance of elaborating the steps and time frames relating to the consideration by the enforcement branch of, and its decision on, disagreements on whether to apply adjustments to inventories under Article 5, paragraph 2, of the Kyoto Protocol pursuant to decision 27/CMP.1, annex, section X, paragraph 5,

Welcoming the call by the Compliance Committee for Parties to keep in mind the goal of promoting gender balance when making nominations for membership of the Committee,

Affirming that the annex to decision 27/CMP.1 should be read in a manner that enables the Compliance Committee to effectively fulfil its mandate in relation to the second commitment period,

Expressing its appreciation to Parties that have contributed to the funding of the work of the Compliance Committee,

1. *Notes with appreciation* the work of the Compliance Committee during the reporting period;

2. *Adopts* the amendments to the rules of procedure of the Compliance Committee contained in the annex, in accordance with the provisions of decision 27/CMP.1, annex, section III, paragraph 2(d);

3. *Clarifies* that for the purposes of the second commitment period under the Kyoto Protocol, references to Article 3, paragraph 1, of the Kyoto Protocol contained in the annex to decision 27/CMP.1 shall be read as referring to Article 3, paragraph 1 bis, in the Doha Amendment, contained in decision 1/CMP.8, annex I;

4. *Notes* the strong interest of the Compliance Committee in the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol concluding adequate legal arrangements on privileges and immunities that would cover members and alternate members of the Committee and looks forward to considering the outcomes of the work of the Subsidiary Body for Implementation on such arrangements for individuals serving on constituted bodies established under the Kyoto Protocol.

[1] FCCC/KP/CMP/2013/3.

Annex

Amendments to the rules of procedure of the Compliance Committee of the Kyoto Protocol

The "Rules of procedure of the Compliance Committee of the Kyoto Protocol" contained in the annex to decision 4/CMP.2, as amended by decision 4/CMP.4, are further amended as follows:

A. Amendment to rule 2

1. The following text should be inserted after rule 2, subparagraph (i):

"(i bis) "Party involved" means a Party that disagrees with adjustments to its inventory under Article 5, paragraph 2, of the Kyoto Protocol, as calculated and recommended by an expert review team in accordance with the procedures set out in paragraphs 79 and 80 of the "Guidelines for review under Article 8 of the Kyoto Protocol", contained in the annex to decision 22/CMP.1;"

B. Amendment to section 12

2. The following text should be inserted in section 12 after rule 25 bis:

"Rule 25 ter

1. Where there is a disagreement on whether to apply adjustments to inventories under Article 5, paragraph 2, of the Kyoto Protocol under section X, paragraph 5, this rule shall apply. In addition, the other relevant rules and other relevant provisions contained in the annex to decision 27/CMP.1 shall be applied mutatis mutandis, as appropriate.

2. Within seven days of having received, through the secretariat, a report of an expert review team under Article 8 of the Kyoto Protocol in accordance with section VI, paragraph 1 or 3, that includes a disagreement on whether to apply adjustments to an inventory under Article 5, paragraph 2, of the Kyoto Protocol, the bureau shall request the secretariat to notify forthwith:

(a) Members and alternate members of the enforcement branch of the disagreement and send them all available materials;

(b) Members and alternate members of the facilitative branch of the disagreement;

(c) The Party involved that the disagreement will be considered by the enforcement branch.

3. The Party involved may make a written submission within four weeks from the date of receipt of the notification referred to in paragraph 2(c) above, including rebuttal of information submitted to the enforcement branch.

4. If so requested in writing by the Party involved within four weeks from the date of receipt of the notification referred to in paragraph 2(c) above, the enforcement branch shall hold a hearing, which shall take place within four weeks from the date of receipt of that request or the written submission referred to in paragraph 3 above, whichever is the later. The Party involved may present expert testimony or opinion at the hearing. Such a hearing shall be held in public, unless the enforcement branch decides, of its own accord or at the request of the Party involved, that part or all of the hearing shall take place in private.

5. The enforcement branch shall adopt its decision on the disagreement referred to in paragraph 2 above within 11 weeks of the notification referred to in paragraph 2(c) above

or within three weeks of the hearing referred to in paragraph 4 above, whichever is the shorter.

6. The Party involved may, at any time before the adoption of the decision referred to in paragraph 5 above, accept, at the hearing referred to in paragraph 4 above or in writing, the adjustments as calculated and recommended by the expert review team referred to in paragraph 2 above. Such acceptance shall result in the resolution of the disagreement referred to in paragraph 2 above and shall be noted by the enforcement branch in its decision on the matter.

7. If the report referred to in paragraph 2 above also indicates a question of implementation that is allocated to the enforcement branch and to which the expedited procedure set out in section X, paragraph 1, applies, the enforcement branch may extend any time frames provided for in this rule to align both procedures. The enforcement branch shall make every effort to minimize any resulting delay and, in any event, shall decide on the disagreement referred to in paragraph 2 above no later than at the time of the adoption of the final decision on the question of implementation in accordance with section X, paragraph 1(f).

8. The period of time stipulated in section IX, paragraph 3, shall apply only if, in the opinion of the enforcement branch, it does not interfere with the adoption of the decision in accordance with paragraph 5 above."

9th plenary meeting
22 November 2013

Decision 9/CMP.9

Supplementary information incorporated in sixth national communications submitted in accordance with Article 7, paragraph 2, of the Kyoto Protocol

The Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol,

Recalling the relevant provisions of the Kyoto Protocol, in particular Article 7, paragraphs 2 and 3, and Articles 10 and 11,

Also recalling decisions, 9/CP.16, 2/CP.17, 19/CP.18, 15/CMP.1, 22/CMP.1, 8/CMP.3, 10/CMP.6 and 7/CMP.8,

Emphasizing that the national communications and annual greenhouse gas inventories submitted by Parties included in Annex I to the Convention that are also Parties to the Kyoto Protocol are the main source of information for reviewing the implementation of the Convention and its Kyoto Protocol by those Parties, and that the reports of the in-depth reviews of those national communications provide important additional information for that purpose,

Recalling that the Conference of the Parties, by decision 2/CP.17, requested the secretariat to prepare a compilation and synthesis report on the information reported by developed country Parties in their biennial reports for consideration by the Conference of the Parties at its twentieth (December 2014) and subsequent sessions, in accordance with Article 7, paragraph 2(g), of the Convention,

1. *Requests* the secretariat to prepare a compilation and synthesis report on the supplementary information incorporated in sixth national communications submitted in accordance with Article 7, paragraph 2, of the Kyoto Protocol for consideration by the Conference of the Parties serving as a meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol at its tenth session (December 2014);

2. *Concludes* that the supplementary information under Article 7, paragraph 2, of the Kyoto Protocol incorporated into the sixth national communications shall be reviewed in accordance with decision 22/CMP.1;

3. *Requests* the secretariat to organize centralized reviews of sixth national communications for Parties with total greenhouse gas emissions of less than 50 million tonnes of carbon dioxide equivalent (excluding land use, land-use change and forestry) in accordance with their most recent greenhouse gas inventory submission, with the exception of Parties included in Annex II to the Convention, for which the secretariat will organize in-country in-depth reviews;[1]

4. *Also requests* the secretariat to conduct in-country in-depth reviews of sixth national communications for those Parties referred to in paragraph 3 above that request one.

9th plenary meeting
22 November 2013

[1] In accordance with this provision centralized reviews of sixth national communications could be organized by the secretariat for the following Parties: Croatia, Cyprus, Estonia, Latvia, Lithuania, Liechtenstein, Malta, Monaco, Slovakia and Slovenia.

Decision 10/CMP.9

Programme budget for the biennium 2014–2015

The Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol,

Recalling Article 13, paragraph 5, of the Kyoto Protocol,

Also recalling decision 8/CMP.8 on the methodology for the collection of international transaction log fees in the biennium 2014–2015,

Taking note of decision 27/CP.19, in particular paragraph 6,

Having considered the proposed programme budget for the biennium 2014–2015 submitted by the Executive Secretary,[1]

1. *Endorses* decision 27/CP.19 on the programme budget for the biennium 2014–2015 adopted by the Conference of the Parties at its nineteenth session, as it applies to the Kyoto Protocol;

2. *Adopts* the indicative scale of contributions for 2014 and 2015, contained in annex I, covering 28.8 per cent of the indicative contributions specified in table 1 of decision 27/CP.19;

3. *Invites* all Parties to the Kyoto Protocol to note that contributions to the core budget are due on 1 January of each year in accordance with paragraph 8(b) of the financial procedures, and to pay promptly and in full for each of the years 2014 and 2015 the contributions required to finance the approved expenditures set out in decision 27/CP.19;

4. *Takes note* of the financing requirements[2] for the clean development mechanism and joint implementation proposed by the Executive Board of the clean development mechanism and the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee, respectively;

5. *Approves* the budget for the international transaction log for the biennium 2014–2015, amounting to EUR 5,481,520,[3] for the purposes specified in document FCCC/SBI/2013/6/Add.3;

[1] FCCC/SBI/2013/6 and Add.1–3.

[2] FCCC/SBI/2013/6/Add.1.

[3] This amount represents a reduction of 5 per cent compared with the 2012–2013 budget for the international transaction log.

Table 1
Budget for the international transaction log for 2014–2015 by object of expenditure
(EUR)

Object of expenditure	*2014–2015*
Staff costs	1 447 460
Temporary assistance and overtime	20 000
Consultants	131 830
Contractors	2 900 976
Travel of staff	50 000
Experts and expert groups	20 000
Training	20 000
General operating expenses	104 000
Contributions to common services	167 000
Subtotal	**4 861 266**
Programme support costs	631 964
Adjustment to working capital reserve	(11 710)[a]
Total	**5 481 520**

[a] The working capital reserve has been decreased from EUR 239,680 in the biennium 2012–2013 to EUR 227,970 for the biennium 2014–2015, a difference of EUR 11,710.

6. *Decides* to maintain the level of the working capital reserve at 8.3 per cent of the estimated expenditure of the Trust Fund for the International Transaction Log;

7. *Notes* that the costs referred to in paragraph 12 of document FCCC/SBI/2013/6/Add.3 are exceptional one-off costs that will include the necessary upgrades to the international transaction log infrastructure, and should be, to the extent possible, covered by the amount indicated in paragraph 5 above;

8. *Authorizes* the Executive Secretary, upon exhaustion of the amount indicated in paragraph 5 above, on an exceptional basis and without creating a precedent, to draw up to an additional EUR 1.0 million from unspent balances (carry-over) of the Trust Fund for the International Transaction Log from previous financial periods to cover over-expenditures and for the purposes specified in document FCCC/SBI/2013/6/Add.3;

9. *Adopts* the fees for the international transaction log for the biennium 2014–2015 contained in annex II.

Annex I

Table 2
Indicative scale of contributions from Parties to the Kyoto Protocol for the biennium 2014–2015

Party	*United Nations scale of assessments for 2014*	*Kyoto Protocol adjusted scale for 2014*	*Kyoto Protocol adjusted scale for 2015*
Afghanistan	0.005	0.007	0.007
Albania	0.010	0.013	0.013
Algeria	0.137	0.178	0.178
Angola	0.010	0.013	0.013
Antigua and Barbuda	0.002	0.003	0.003
Argentina	0.432	0.562	0.562
Armenia	0.007	0.009	0.009
Australia	2.074	2.696	2.696
Austria	0.798	1.037	1.037
Azerbaijan	0.040	0.052	0.052
Bahamas	0.017	0.022	0.022
Bahrain	0.039	0.051	0.051
Bangladesh	0.010	0.013	0.013
Barbados	0.008	0.010	0.010
Belarus	0.056	0.073	0.073
Belgium	0.998	1.297	1.297
Belize	0.001	0.001	0.001
Benin	0.003	0.004	0.004
Bhutan	0.001	0.001	0.001
Bolivia (Plurinational State of)	0.009	0.012	0.012
Bosnia and Herzegovina	0.017	0.022	0.022
Botswana	0.017	0.022	0.022
Brazil	2.934	3.814	3.814
Brunei Darussalam	0.026	0.034	0.034
Bulgaria	0.047	0.061	0.061
Burkina Faso	0.003	0.004	0.004
Burundi	0.001	0.001	0.001
Cambodia	0.004	0.005	0.005
Cameroon	0.012	0.016	0.016
Cabo Verde	0.001	0.001	0.001
Central African Republic	0.001	0.001	0.001
Chad	0.002	0.003	0.003
Chile	0.334	0.434	0.434
China	5.148	6.693	6.693
Colombia	0.259	0.337	0.337
Comoros	0.001	0.001	0.001
Congo	0.005	0.007	0.007
Cook Islands	0.001	0.001	0.001
Costa Rica	0.038	0.049	0.049
Côte d'Ivoire	0.011	0.014	0.014
Croatia	0.126	0.164	0.164
Cuba	0.069	0.090	0.090
Cyprus	0.047	0.061	0.061
Czech Republic	0.386	0.502	0.502
Democratic People's Republic of Korea	0.006	0.008	0.008
Democratic Republic of the Congo	0.003	0.004	0.004

Party	*United Nations scale of assessments for 2014*	*Kyoto Protocol adjusted scale for 2014*	*Kyoto Protocol adjusted scale for 2015*
Denmark	0.675	0.878	0.878
Djibouti	0.001	0.001	0.001
Dominica	0.001	0.001	0.001
Dominican Republic	0.045	0.059	0.059
Ecuador	0.044	0.057	0.057
Egypt	0.134	0.174	0.174
El Salvador	0.016	0.021	0.021
Equatorial Guinea	0.010	0.013	0.013
Eritrea	0.001	0.001	0.001
Estonia	0.040	0.052	0.052
Ethiopia	0.010	0.013	0.013
European Union	2.500	2.500	2.500
Fiji	0.003	0.004	0.004
Finland	0.519	0.675	0.675
France	5.593	7.271	7.271
Gabon	0.020	0.026	0.026
Gambia	0.001	0.001	0.001
Georgia	0.007	0.009	0.009
Germany	7.141	9.284	9.284
Ghana	0.014	0.018	0.018
Greece	0.638	0.829	0.829
Grenada	0.001	0.001	0.001
Guatemala	0.027	0.035	0.035
Guinea	0.001	0.001	0.001
Guinea-Bissau	0.001	0.001	0.001
Guyana	0.001	0.001	0.001
Haiti	0.003	0.004	0.004
Honduras	0.008	0.010	0.010
Hungary	0.266	0.346	0.346
Iceland	0.027	0.035	0.035
India	0.666	0.866	0.866
Indonesia	0.346	0.450	0.450
Iran (Islamic Republic of)	0.356	0.463	0.463
Iraq	0.068	0.088	0.088
Ireland	0.418	0.543	0.543
Israel	0.396	0.515	0.515
Italy	4.448	5.783	5.783
Jamaica	0.011	0.014	0.014
Japan	10.833	14.083	14.083
Jordan	0.022	0.029	0.029
Kazakhstan	0.121	0.157	0.157
Kenya	0.013	0.017	0.017
Kiribati	0.001	0.001	0.001
Kuwait	0.273	0.355	0.355
Kyrgyzstan	0.002	0.003	0.003
Lao People's Democratic Republic	0.002	0.003	0.003
Latvia	0.047	0.061	0.061
Lebanon	0.042	0.055	0.055
Lesotho	0.001	0.001	0.001
Liberia	0.001	0.001	0.001
Libya	0.142	0.185	0.185

Party	*United Nations scale of assessments for 2014*	*Kyoto Protocol adjusted scale for 2014*	*Kyoto Protocol adjusted scale for 2015*
Liechtenstein	0.009	0.012	0.012
Lithuania	0.073	0.095	0.095
Luxembourg	0.081	0.105	0.105
Madagascar	0.003	0.004	0.004
Malawi	0.002	0.003	0.003
Malaysia	0.281	0.365	0.365
Maldives	0.001	0.001	0.001
Mali	0.004	0.005	0.005
Malta	0.016	0.021	0.021
Marshall Islands	0.001	0.001	0.001
Mauritania	0.002	0.003	0.003
Mauritius	0.013	0.017	0.017
Mexico	1.842	2.395	2.395
Micronesia (Federated States of)	0.001	0.001	0.001
Monaco	0.012	0.016	0.016
Mongolia	0.003	0.004	0.004
Montenegro	0.005	0.007	0.007
Morocco	0.062	0.081	0.081
Mozambique	0.003	0.004	0.004
Myanmar	0.010	0.013	0.013
Namibia	0.010	0.013	0.013
Nauru	0.001	0.001	0.001
Nepal	0.006	0.008	0.008
Netherlands	1.654	2.150	2.150
New Zealand	0.253	0.329	0.329
Nicaragua	0.003	0.004	0.004
Niger	0.002	0.003	0.003
Nigeria	0.090	0.117	0.117
Niue	0.001	0.001	0.001
Norway	0.851	1.106	1.106
Oman	0.102	0.133	0.133
Pakistan	0.085	0.111	0.111
Palau	0.001	0.001	0.001
Panama	0.026	0.034	0.034
Papua New Guinea	0.004	0.005	0.005
Paraguay	0.010	0.013	0.013
Peru	0.117	0.152	0.152
Philippines	0.154	0.200	0.200
Poland	0.921	1.197	1.197
Portugal	0.474	0.616	0.616
Qatar	0.209	0.272	0.272
Republic of Korea	1.994	2.592	2.592
Republic of Moldova	0.003	0.004	0.004
Romania	0.226	0.294	0.294
Russian Federation	2.438	3.170	3.170
Rwanda	0.002	0.003	0.003
Saint Kitts and Nevis	0.001	0.001	0.001
Saint Lucia	0.001	0.001	0.001
Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	0.001	0.001	0.001
Samoa	0.001	0.001	0.001
San Marino	0.003	0.004	0.004

Party	*United Nations scale of assessments for 2014*	*Kyoto Protocol adjusted scale for 2014*	*Kyoto Protocol adjusted scale for 2015*
Sao Tome and Principe	0.001	0.001	0.001
Saudi Arabia	0.864	1.123	1.123
Senegal	0.006	0.008	0.008
Serbia	0.040	0.052	0.052
Seychelles	0.001	0.001	0.001
Sierra Leone	0.001	0.001	0.001
Singapore	0.384	0.499	0.499
Slovakia	0.171	0.222	0.222
Slovenia	0.100	0.130	0.130
Solomon Islands	0.001	0.001	0.001
Somalia	0.001	0.001	0.001
South Africa	0.372	0.484	0.484
Spain	2.973	3.865	3.865
Sri Lanka	0.025	0.033	0.033
Sudan	0.010	0.013	0.013
Suriname	0.004	0.005	0.005
Swaziland	0.003	0.004	0.004
Sweden	0.960	1.248	1.248
Switzerland	1.047	1.361	1.361
Syrian Arab Republic	0.036	0.047	0.047
Tajikistan	0.003	0.004	0.004
Thailand	0.239	0.311	0.311
The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	0.008	0.010	0.010
Timor-Leste	0.002	0.003	0.003
Togo	0.001	0.001	0.001
Tonga	0.001	0.001	0.001
Trinidad and Tobago	0.044	0.057	0.057
Tunisia	0.036	0.047	0.047
Turkey	1.328	1.726	1.726
Turkmenistan	0.019	0.025	0.025
Tuvalu	0.001	0.001	0.001
Uganda	0.006	0.008	0.008
Ukraine	0.099	0.129	0.129
United Arab Emirates	0.595	0.774	0.774
United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland	5.179	6.733	6.733
United Republic of Tanzania	0.009	0.012	0.012
Uruguay	0.052	0.068	0.068
Uzbekistan	0.015	0.020	0.020
Vanuatu	0.001	0.001	0.001
Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of)	0.627	0.815	0.815
Viet Nam	0.042	0.055	0.055
Yemen	0.010	0.013	0.013
Zambia	0.006	0.008	0.008
Zimbabwe	0.002	0.003	0.003
Total	**77.506**	**100.000**	**100.000**

Annex II

Table 3
Fees for the international transaction log for the biennium 2014–2015

Party	*Fees for 2014 (EUR)*	*Fees for 2015 (EUR)*	*Scale of fees for 2014–2015[a] (per cent)*
Australia	77 854	77 854	2.841
Austria	43 526	43 526	1.588
Belgium	54 071	54 071	1.973
Bulgaria	974	974	0.036
Croatia	2 178	2 178	0.079
Czech Republic	13 783	13 783	0.503
Denmark	36 248	36 248	1.323
Estonia	774	774	0.028
European Union	73 584	73 584	2.685
Finland	27 651	27 651	1.009
France	292 360	292 360	10.667
Germany	420 702	420 702	15.350
Greece	29 199	29 199	1.065
Hungary	11 978	11 978	0.437
Iceland	20 201	20 201	0.737
Ireland	21 835	21 835	0.797
Italy	249 121	249 121	9.089
Japan	409 442	409 442	14.939
Latvia	888	888	0.032
Liechtenstein	5 158	5 158	0.188
Lithuania	1 519	1 519	0 055
Luxembourg	4 184	4 184	0.153
Monaco	4 957	4 957	0.181
Netherlands	91 866	91 866	3.352
New Zealand	26 333	26 333	0.961
Norway	63 555	63 555	2.319
Poland	24 557	24 557	0.896
Portugal	25 846	25 846	0.943
Romania	3 439	3 439	0.125
Russian Federation	75 189	75 189	2.743
Slovakia	3 095	3 095	0.113
Slovenia	4 699	4 699	0.171
Spain	145 564	145 564	5.311
Sweden	52 552	52 552	1.917
Switzerland	75 647	75 647	2.760
Ukraine	20 431	20 431	0.745
United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland	325 800	325 800	11.887
Total	**2 740 760**	**2 740 760**	**100.000**

[a] As contained in decision 8/CMP.8.

9th plenary meeting
23 November 2013

Resolution 1/CMP.9

Expression of gratitude to the Government of Poland and the people of the city of Warsaw

Draft resolution submitted by Peru

The Conference of the Parties and the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol,

Having met in Warsaw from 11 November to 22 November 2013 at the invitation of the Government of the Republic of Poland,

1. *Express their profound gratitude* to the Government of the Republic of Poland for having made it possible for the nineteenth session of the Conference of the Parties and the ninth session of the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol to be held in Warsaw;

2. *Request* the Government of the Republic of Poland to convey to the city and people of Warsaw the gratitude of the Conference of the Parties and the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol for the hospitality and warmth extended to the participants.

9th plenary meeting
23 November 2013